	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/813,183	ZUPANICK, JOSEPH A.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nicole Coy	3672
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Nicole Coy.	(3)	
(2) <u>Joshua Griswold</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 14 November 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: na		
Prior art documents discussed:		•
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN Applicant indicated that no response to the office action mailed		AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a wr e record of the substance of the	itten summary of the substance . ne interview, since the interview
• •		•
		·
45 - Hardon Completion (April 1997)	ant/Applicant's Representative	Signature — if appropriate)
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	invApplicant's Representative	Signature – ir appropriatej